Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,248	RACHLIN, DANIEL J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>amended</u>
(1) BJ Forman.	(3)	
(2) <u>James Schumann</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 December 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	olicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed:		
13-18 25-26		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a steer record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		·
	·	
BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER		,
	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Schumann to discuss amendments to overcome 112, second paragraph issues to thereby place the claims in condition for allowance. Mr. Schumann was also informed that the withdrawn claims, being drawn to methods for using the allowed device, will be rejoined.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER